UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/088,139 | 12/17/2002 | Anne Eckert | ST99042USPCT | 1457 | |
| 5487 7590 07/01/2010 ANDREA Q. RYAN SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC 1041 ROUTE 202-206 MAIL CODE: D303A | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | HAMA, JOANNE | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BRIDGEWATI | BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807 | | | 1632 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/01/2010 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPatent.E-Filing@sanofi-aventis.com andrea.ryan@sanofi-aventis.com

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant filed a response to the Final Action of March 11, 2010 on June 10, 2010.

Claims 1-5, 7, 13-16, 21 are cancelled.

Claims 6, 8-12, 17-20, 22-25, drawn to a method for detecting compounds for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, are under consideration.

Maintained Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8-12, 17-20, 22-25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Citron et al., 1998, Neurobiology of Diseases, 5: 107-116 in view of St. George-Hyslop et al., US Patent 6,395,960, patented May 28, 2002, Ishii et al., 1997, Neuroscience Letters, 228: 17-20, Borchelt et al., 1997, Neuron, 19: 939-945, and Xia et al., 1997, The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 272: 7977-7982, for reasons of record, June 18, 2009, March 11, 2010.

Applicant's arguments filed June 10, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant indicates that the instant rejection relies on the passage from the St.

George-Hyslop applied reference that was quoted on page 3 of the Office Action. Page

5, lines 12-16 of the Office Action explained the basis of the rejection with regard to reasonable expectation of success of the claimed invention. Applicant indicates that the St. George-Hyslop passage which states necrotic death, apoptotic death, all which have been found to be abnormal in human brain and/or peripheral tissue cells in subjects with Alzheimer's disease. The plain language of the quoted reference indicates that a list of events observed in brain and/or peripheral tissue but does not indicate where, for example, necrotic death, occurs and under what conditions and where apoptotic death occurs and under what conditions. Thus, relying on the plain language of the applied reference as evidence, it cannot be properly be concluded that St. George-Hyslop et al. teaches that cells that overexpress mutant presenilin apoptose because the reference does not distinguish between necrotic and apoptotic death and does not indicate the type of death that occur in which tissues (Applicant's emphasis, Applicant's response, pages 2-3). In response, this is not persuasive. At the time of filing, many publications in the art taught that there was a difference between apoptotic and necrotic cells based on morphological and biochemical characteristics (for example, see Martin et al., 1998, Brain Research Bulletin, 46: 281-309, page 282, under Cell Death Classification). As such, it is routine for an artisan to take any tissue and determine whether the tissue is apoptotic or necrotic.

Thus, the claims <u>remain</u> rejected.

Examiner's note: The following reference is cited to complete the art of record: Martin et al., 1998, Brain Research Bulletin, 46: 281-309.

Conclusion

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Hama, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-2911. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Fridays from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service

Application/Control Number: 10/088,139 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Joanne Hama/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1632